UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,925	02/06/2004	Brien E. Pierpont	P06547US1	8476	
34082 ZARLEY LAV	7590 04/02/2007 W FIRM P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
CAPITAL SQ	UARE		WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
•	00 LOCUST, SUITE 200 ES MOINES, IA 50309-2350 ART UNIT PAPER NU		PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3763		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	04/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			~		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	08		
		PIERPONT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/773,925		· - 		
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Catherine S. Williams	3763	dress		
Period for Reply	ipears on the cover sile	et with the correspondence aux	2, 000		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTED IN THE MAILING IDENTED I	DATE OF THIS COMMI .136(a). In no event, however, m is will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L	December 2006.				
·— · ·	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	,		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-18 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No neen received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/04;6/1/04.	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application r:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 12/14/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12/14/06.

Claim Objections

Claims 2 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 2 and 11 both cite "the distal end and the proximal end housing", a "housing" has not been previously presented in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierpont (USPN 5,484,412) in view of Crittenden et al (USPN 4,988,356). Regarding claims 1 and 5-10, Pierpont discloses an elongated hollow anchoring catheter; a hollow guiding catheter; first,

Art Unit: 3763

second and third balloons with independent inflation; a treatment catheter; a guidewire; and blood by-pass means. Pieront fails to disclose that the treatment catheter extends through an opening in the tubular wall of the anchoring catheter. See figures 1-5

Crittenden teaches a catheter and guidewire exchange system that includes the teaching of introducing an elongated element (guidewire) through a side slit opening in a catheter. The catheter further includes a guide member that slides along the length of the catheter in order to facilitate opening and re-sealing of the slit. See figures 1 and 10.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of using a side slit opening into the invention of Pierpont and specifically into the tubular wall of the anchoring catheter. Side slit openings are well known in the art and are used in order to enable the medical technician to introduce and remove additional devices into and out of indwelling catheters. The advantages are well known in the art and result in improved ease of use and safety to the patient. One skilled in the art would have used these known motivations to incorporate the slit and guide member of Crittenden into the invention of Pierpont.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571/2724970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571/2724977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catherine S. Williams

Catherin S. William

March 2, 2007

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER